

Annex 1

Land around Buttsgrove Way, The Whaddons and Suffolk House, Huntingdon

Summary of Written Comments

The table below details the comments received in general letters and from comments or attachments from the public questionnaires.

Action Code:

- 1 Action Taken
- 2 Not within remit of document
- 3 No action required

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
Huntingdon Town Council	1	Additional pathways should be added on both sides of Buttsgrove Way leading up to Thongsley for the safety of residents.	Not within remit of document	2
		Street lighting from Thongsley to Buttsgrove Way should be improved.	Not within remit of document	2
		An additional pedestrian crossing should be located between the two bus stops on Buttsgrove Way.	Not within remit of document	2
		Opposed to the proposed new entrance located on the bend of Buttsgrove Way shown on plan 3.	This will be removed from the document .	1

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
		<p>Members would prefer to see a higher proportion of social housing than shared equity properties due to the number of people on the housing waiting list.</p> <p>Members feel that the overall concept is good, but that the options proposed will overdevelop the site and therefore provision for additional green open space and further car parking facilities are required.</p>	<p>This is for HDC Housing department to consider in negotiation with Luminus.</p> <p>The document has been altered to address perceived car parking issues, and to take a more pragmatic approach to providing parking spaces where people will park. The quality of the open space will be improved, better designed and in locations that will be overlooked and provide a more useful role.</p>	<p>2</p> <p>3</p>
CCC Highways	2	<p>No formal comments in writing though accept principle of parking on Buttsgrove Way, as has happened on California Road. Would require Buttsgrove Way to be widened slightly where parking would be expected to take place.</p> <p>Visibility splays on junctions with California Road to be 2.4m x 90m.</p> <p>No problem with extending use of road from opposite Trinity Church to connect to The Whaddons.</p> <p>Does not support any proposals for double yellow lines on bend on Buttsgrove Way, as it</p>	<p>Make amendments to document to accord with these comments.</p>	1

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
		raises issues of enforcement.		
Questionnaire 1	3	Suffolk House should be demolished.	There are no plans to demolish Suffolk House at present.	3
		Green areas behind shops is a good idea	Noted	3
		New buildings must be better than the Buttsgrove Way new build	See annex 2 para 8	3
		Remove lorry parking behind shops	Although supported needs further investigation	3
		Stop building on open space	See annex 2 para 6	
Questionnaire 2	4	Suffolk House should be demolished	There are no plans to demolish Suffolk House at present.	3
		New dwellings must be in keeping, not like new buildings on Buttsgrove Way	Seen annex 2 para 8	3
Questionnaire 3	5	Don't want parking in front of Thongsley Houses	Revise plan to ensure that an area (not yet defined) of green space is retained in front of Thongsley houses that front onto Buttsgrove Way.	1
		Keep green areas for the children to play on	See annex 2 para 6	3
		Prefer to see bungalows replaced by bungalows	Housing mix will be determined by HDC housing department, in accordance with housing need.	3

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
		More parking on existing garage blocks	seen annex 2 para 1	1
Questionnaire 4	6	Must not lose the green – most important issue	Overall some green space will be lost to enable more much needed housing to be built. However new green space will be created within the site that will be better located and more useable .	3
Questionnaire 5	7	Lose green space, privacy, and play space, will cause parking problems.	See answer above. We are investigating alternative solutions to provide more car parking.	3
Questionnaire 6	8	More development means more problems pulling out of Prospero Way onto Buttsgrove Way	The LHA do not consider this to be an issue	3
Questionnaire 7	9	More traffic will make it more difficult to enter and exit Prospero Way	As above.	3
Questionnaire 8	10	Concern over amount of traffic and parking on Prospero Way	As above.	3
Questionnaire 9	11	Concern over cars parking in Buttsgrove Way. Dangerous at junction with Prospero Way	As above	3
Questionnaire 10	12	Traffic already bad. Blocking out light for existing homes	See above regarding traffic. Any new development will address any amenity issues for	3 3

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
		Loss of green space	existing residents. See annex 2 para 6	1
		Speeding is a problem now, so will get worse	More parking on Buttsgrove Way may slow down the traffic.	3
Questionnaire 11	13	Would like to see garages demolished, and area given over to more car parking	See annex 2 para 1	1
		Would prefer to see the area of Whaddons closest to Trinity Church as a cul de sac.	This issue is still be considered, as there is an either / or solution	1
		Should not have an access road on the bend of Buttsgrove Way, it is dangerous.	Not now considered to be an appropriate solution- deleted	1
Questionnaire 12	14	Concern that the green areas are too close to the main road.	Amend the document to suggest fencing to some of the green spaces.	1
		Perhaps fencing along the road would be a good idea.	ditto	1
		Suggest that garage courts are knocked down and land given over to adjoining properties for car parking and helping to alleviate parking problems. This has been successful along the 'Rivers' streets.	See annex 2 para 1	1

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
Questionnaire 13	15	More parking for residents of Prospero Way, people park on the grass verges at the moment	Not relevant to this study	2
Questionnaire 14	16	Outlook from Prospero Way	Any new development will be required to meet highest levels of design	3
Questionnaire 15	17	Needs an Occupational Therapist to help in the design of the bungalows	This will be something for HDC's housing department to look into.	2
Questionnaire 16	18	Does not want to see apartments	This is something that HDC's housing department will advise on dependant on the housing list.	2
Questionnaire 17	19	OK to have parking in front instead of in garages as garages are not used much, but would not want parking to be brought right up edge of pathway. Why not just replace bungalows.	Agree with change of emphasis from garage courts. Investigating opportunity to demolish garage courts, see annex 2 para 1.	1
Questionnaire 18	20	Would like to see access road into the green serving nos. 21-23 Thongsley, to provide parking. This green area is a problem, with teenagers and anti social behaviour.	This may be addressed by Luminus' assessment of whether the garage blocks should be retained, see annex 2 para 2.	1
Questionnaire	21	Would like to see car parking to be at right	This is a useful idea and will be looked at when detailed	1

Comment by:	Respondent no.	Nature of Comment	Response	Action
19		angles to the road, thereby giving more car parking spaces than if the cars were parked along kerbs.	car parking designs are produced.	
Questionnaire 20	22	Supports affordable housing at top of Thongsley and The Whaddons. Objects to any proposals to knock down any garages. Does not believe that knocking down garages will solve the need for more car parking spaces and will increase the vandalism that is already a big problem on Oxmoor.	Welcome the support. The selective removal of garage courts is being investigated as a potential solution to car parking in certain parts of the study area.	3 1

